ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONTINUES



1

2

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE - Chairman

AZ-CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

2012 MAR 13 AM 9 14

Arizona Corporation Commission

MAR 1 3 2012

THE STATE OF STATE OF

DOCKETED BY

ED BY

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BOB STUMP
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
PAUL NEWMAN

BRENDA BURNS

IN THE MATTER OF:

RICHARD M. SCHMERMAN, individually and d/b/a Diversified Financial and/or Diversified Financial Planners, and Amy Schmerman, husband and wife.

RESPONDENTS.

TENTH
PROCEDURAL ORDER
(Schedules Hearing)

DOCKET NO. S-20757A-10-0373

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 9, 2010, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Richard M. Schmerman d/b/a Diversified Financial and/or Diversified Financial Planners ("Diversified) and Amy Schmerman, husband and wife (collectively "Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act ("Act") and the Investment Management Act ("IM Act") in connection with Respondent Richard A. Schmerman's practices in business and securities matters which allegedly involved mishandling of client funds and misrepresentation.

The Respondents were duly served with copies of the Notice.

On September 20, 2010, a request for hearing was filed by the Respondents.

On September 22, 2010, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on October 21, 2010.

On October 21, 2010, at the pre-hearing conference, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel. The parties are discussing a possible resolution of the issues raised by the Notice, but have agreed in the interim that a status conference be scheduled in approximately 60 days.

On October 22, 2010, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled on December 16, 2010.

On December 16, 2010, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel at the status

conference. The parties were continuing to discuss a resolution of the proceeding and in the interim, the Division requested that another status conference be scheduled in approximately 60 days.

On December 16, 2010, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled on February 23, 2011.

On February 22, 2011, the Division and Respondents filed a Joint Stipulation to Continue the status conference for at least 60 days in order that the parties could continue to review matters and attempt to resolve the issues raised by the Notice.

On February 23, 2011, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued from February 23, 2011, to April 25, 2011.

On April 22, 2011, the Division and Respondents filed another Joint Stipulation to Continue the status conference for at least 60 days to allow the parties to continue to work towards a settlement of the issues raised by the Notice.

On April 25, 2011, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued from April 25, 2011, to July 7, 2011.

On July 5, 2011, the Division and Respondents filed another Joint Stipulation to Continue the status conference for at least 60 days to allow the parties to continue to work towards a settlement of the issues raised by the Notice. Subsequently, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued from July 7, 2011, to September 8, 2011.

On September 7, 2011, the Division and Respondents filed another Joint Stipulation to Continue the status conference for sixty days or more to allow the parties to review additional documentation and to discuss a possible resolution of the proceeding. Subsequently, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued to November 17, 2011.

On November 17, 2011, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel. The Division indicated that it was preparing to file a Motion to Amend the Notice adding additional allegations against Respondents. The Division and Respondents were continuing to discuss a possible resolution of the proceeding, but in the interim counsel agreed that an additional status conference be scheduled in March 2012.

On November 21, 2011, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled as agreed on

March 12, 2012.

On December 6, 2011, the Division filed a Motion to File Amended Notice ("Motion").

On December 12, 2011, the Division and Respondents filed a Joint Stipulation regarding the Division's Motion. Respondents had no objections to the filing of the Amended Notice and the parties stipulated that Respondents' initial request for hearing filed September 20, 2010, would be applicable as to the Amended Notice. Additionally, the parties stipulated that Respondents would have at least 30 days to file an Answer from the date of an Order which authorizes the filing of the Amended Notice.

On December 14, 2011, the Division was authorized to file the Amended Notice as stipulated by the parties.

On March 12, 2012, at the status conference, the Division and Respondents appeared through counsel. The Division's counsel indicated that the parties are continuing to negotiate a settlement of the proceeding, but more time will be required for a resolution of the issues raised by the Notice. The Division and Respondents agreed that a hearing should commence on June 25, 2012 if a settlement cannot be reached.

Accordingly, a hearing should be scheduled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a hearing shall be held on June 25, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room No. 1, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall also set aside June 26, 27, 28 and 29, 2012, for additional days of hearing, if necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Division and Respondents shall exchange copies of their Witness Lists and copies of their Exhibits by May 15, 2012, with courtesy copies provided to the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the parties reach a resolution of the issues raised in the Notice prior to the hearing, the Division shall file a Motion to Vacate the proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding as the matter is now set for public hearing.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal or representation must be made in compliance 2 with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the 3 Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes appearances 4 at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is 5 scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rules 31 and 38 of the Rules 8 of the Arizona Supreme Court and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission pro 9 hac vice. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 11 12 DATED this day of March, 2012. 13 14 15 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 17 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered 18 1211 day of March, 2012 to: 19 Alan Baskin BADE & BASKIN, PLC 20 80 East Rio Salado Parkway, Suite 511 Tempe, AZ 85281 21 Attorney for Respondents 22 Matt Neubert, Director Securities Division 23 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1300 West Washington Street 24 Phoenix, AZ 85007 25 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 26 Phoenix, AZ 85004 27

28